UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,458	02/18/2004	Floyd Backes	160-052	1742
34845 7590 11/23/2007 EXAMI MCGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP				INER
125 NAGOG PARK			MEW, KEVIN D	
ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/781,458	BACKES ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Mew	2616				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY SIZE OF THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING DAY SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 September 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

10/781,458 Art Unit: 2616

Final Action

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's Remarks/Arguments filed on 9/13/2007 has been considered. Claims 1-6 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent
 Application Publication No. US 2004/0054767 A1 by Karaoguz et al. in view of U.S. Publication
 2003/0134642 to Kostic et al.

Regarding claim 1, Karaoguz teaches an apparatus in an access point (e.g., access point 115, see FIG. 1) in a wireless communications environment (e.g., wireless network 110) including multiple access points (e.g., see paragraphs 0019-0021 regarding a plurality of access points) and stations (e.g., wireless devices 120a-120n), wherein stations (e.g., 120a-120n) gain network access by associating with one or more of the access points (e.g., 115), comprising:

logic for keeping track of one or more parameters related to the stations in the network (e.g., gathering and storing statistical information such as location and identity information of the wireless devices 120-120n, power levels, channel cycling, frequencies, coverage area, traffic patterns, etc., see paragraph 0024);

10/781,458

Art Unit: 2616

logic for evaluating the one or more parameters to produce an evaluation (e.g., see paragraph 0033 regarding location information processor determining the distance range using the gathered or stored information); and

logic for causing a station (e.g., 120a-120n) to become associated with the access point (e.g., 115) based upon the evaluation (e.g., see paragraph 0045 regarding modifying the network to achieve optimized network configuration based upon the location information and statistical information; see also paragraph 0021 regarding a wireless devices receiving coverage from an access point in the geographic area upon the access point powering on, and paragraph 0028 regarding adjusting transmission power levels for optimal network configuration for continued coverage in accordance with the stored information; see also paragraph 0033 regarding location information processor for determining the distance range which is used for causing a station to become associated with an access point).

Karaoguz may not specifically disclose receiving messages from the stations indicative of a request to associate with the access point and logic for selecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which the message was received to become associated with the access point and rejecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which message was received to become associated with the access point based upon loading level evaluation.

However, Kostic, like Karaoguz, also teaches communications between an access point and mobile stations in a wireless environment (Fig. 1), and further, Kostic specifically teaches an access point 200 having a transceiver circuitry (Fig. 2) to receive association requests from mobile stations for associating the stations to the access point (logic for receiving messages from stations indicative of a request to associate the access point, paragraphs 0016, 0017, 0018, 0020)

10/781,458

Art Unit: 2616

and having determinations to grant or deny a mobile station to become associated with the access point for a predetermined time interval (logic for selecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which the message was received to become associated with the access point and rejecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which message was received to become associated with the access point based upon loading level evaluation, paragraphs 0016, 0017, 0018, 0020).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply the acceptance and denial of association request from mobile stations to access point taught by Kostic to the access point and mobile stations environment of Karaoguz such that Karaoguz will comprise logic for receiving messages from the stations indicative of a request to associate with the access point and logic for selecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which the message was received to become associated with the access point and rejecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which message was received to become associated with the access point based upon loading level evaluation.

The motivation to do so is to adjust the loading of network access points to reduce network congestion on the overloaded access points.

Regarding claim 2, Karaoguz teaches logic for receiving messages from stations, wherein the messages include at least some of the one or more parameters (e.g., see paragraphs 0029-0038 regarding determining device location, and specifically paragraph 0036 regarding the wireless device sending a range message acknowledgement).

10/781,458 Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 3, Karaoguz teaches a parameter is the number of stations associated with the access point (e.g., inherently represented by the identity information of each wireless device associated with the access point, see paragraph 0024).

Regarding claim 4, Karaoguz teaches a parameter is the distance of a station (e.g., wireless device 120a-120n) from the access point (e.g., 115) (e.g., see paragraphs 0029-0038, and specifically paragraph 0029 regarding determining a distance range location information of a wireless device).

Regarding claim 5, Karaoguz teaches at least some of the one or more parameters are stored in a table (e.g., see paragraph 0040 regarding the information being stored in a data memory unit within the access point, inherently comprising a table).

Regarding claim 6, as discussed above regarding claims 1-4, Karaoguz teaches an apparatus in an access point (e.g., access point 115, see FIG. 1) in a wireless communications environment (e.g., wireless network 110) including multiple access points (e.g., see paragraphs 0019-0021 regarding a plurality of access points) and stations (e.g., wireless devices 120a-120n), wherein stations (e.g., 120a-120n) gain network access by associating with one or more of the access points (e.g., 115), comprising:

logic for keeping track of one or more parameters related to stations in the network (e.g., gathering and storing statistical information such as location and identity information of the

10/781,458 Art Unit: 2616

wireless devices 120-120n, power levels, channel cycling, frequencies, coverage area, traffic patterns, etc., see paragraph 0024);

logic for evaluating the one or more parameters to produce an evaluation (e.g., see paragraph 0033 regarding location information processor determining the distance range using the gathered or stored information); and

logic for causing a station (e.g., 120a-120n) to become associated with the access point (e.g., 115) based upon the evaluation (e.g., see paragraph 0045 regarding modifying the network to achieve optimized network configuration based upon the location information and statistical information; see also paragraph 0021 regarding a wireless devices receiving coverage from an access point in the geographic area upon the access point powering on, and paragraph 0028 regarding adjusting transmission power levels for optimal network configuration for continued coverage in accordance with the stored information; see also paragraph 0033 regarding location information processor for determining the distance range which is used for causing a station to become associated with an access point), in order to gain network access to communicate with other stations via the access point (e.g., see paragraph 0015 regarding, "all communications between the devices 120a, 120b, 120c, 120d120n or between the devices and the wired network 105 can go through the node or Access Point 115"). Further, as discussed above regarding claim 2, Karaoguz teaches logic for receiving messages from stations, wherein the messages include at least some of the one or more parameters (e.g., see paragraphs 0029-0038 regarding determining device location, and specifically paragraph 0036 regarding the wireless device sending a ranger message acknowledgement). Still further, as discussed above regarding claim 3, Karaoguz teaches a parameter is the number of stations associated with the access point

10/781,458 Art Unit: 2616

(e.g., inherently represented by the identity information of each wireless device associated with the access point, see paragraph 0024). Finally, as discussed above regarding claim 4, Karaoguz teaches a parameter is the distance of a station (e.g., wireless device 120a-120n) from the access point (e.g., 115) (e.g., see paragraphs 0029-0038, and specifically paragraph 0029 regarding determining a distance range location information of a wireless device).

Karaoguz may not specifically disclose receiving messages from the stations indicative of a request to associate with the access point and logic for selecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which the message was received to become associated with the access point and rejecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which message was received to become associated with the access point based upon loading level evaluation.

However, Kostic, like Karaoguz, also teaches communications between an access point and mobile stations in a wireless environment (Fig. 1), and further, Kostic specifically teaches an access point 200 having a transceiver circuitry (Fig. 2) to receive association requests from mobile stations for associating the stations to the access point (logic for receiving messages from stations indicative of a request to associate the access point, paragraphs 0016, 0017, 0018, 0020) and having determinations to grant or deny a mobile station to become associated with the access point for a predetermined time interval (logic for selecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which the message was received to become associated with the access point and rejecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which message was received to become associated with the access point based upon loading level evaluation, paragraphs 0016, 0017, 0018, 0020).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply the acceptance and denial of association request from mobile

10/781,458 Art Unit: 2616

stations to access point taught by Kostic to the access point and mobile stations environment of Karaoguz such that Karaoguz will comprise logic for receiving messages from the stations indicative of a request to associate with the access point and logic for selecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which the message was received to become associated with the access point and rejecting, for a cycle, at least one of the stations from which message was received to become associated with the access point based upon loading level evaluation.

The motivation to do so is to adjust the loading of network access points to reduce network congestion on the overloaded access points.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/13/2007 with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argued on page 1, paragraph 2 of the Remarks that Kuntze describes channel selection rather than AP/STA selection so that it does not qualify to be combined with the primary reference Karaoguz, it is noted that the argument is now moot in view of the new ground of rejection of Karaoguz in view of Kostic.

In response to applicant's argument on page 2, paragraph 2 of the Remarks that neither Karaoguz nor Kuntze, either alone or in combination, teaches that "an AP selects only a subset of the association requests received from STAs," it is now moot in view of the new ground of rejection of Karaoguz in view of Kostic.

10/781,458 Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/781,458

Art Unit: 2616

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin Mew

Work Group 2616

Page 10